

Hours of Control – Residents' Parking

Sub Zone A2 – Belgravia

Member	Comment(s)	Director's response
Cllr C Longworth (Knightsbridge & Belgravia) Sub-Zone A2	<p>Zone A1 – Churchill: Cllr Longworth is not too happy about proposed extension to 8.30 p.m. in the shopping & entertainment area of Tachbrook & Warwick. There is a large swathe of Pimlico (Churchill) which is only residential and does not need such restrictions and should remain unchanged. With regard to Saturdays some areas (around Pimlico Road) have controls until 1.30 p.m. and this should remain. In other parts (Sutherland Street) there is no control as should remain unchanged as it completely residential and very quiet at weekends.</p> <p>Zone A2 – Belgravia: following the residents' survey Cllr Longworth supports the proposal to extend the controlled hours in north & inner Belgravia (the Wiltons, Eaton Place & around Belgrave Square. This is necessary due to heavy night-time usage by late night shoppers, restaurant customers and the threat of congestion charging. This will bring the area into line with Knightsbridge. Eaton Square itself could remain as now or have more pay & display, shared use bays – particularly as it is close to the congestion charge boundary and could generate income with four hour spaces.</p>	<p>Noted, but if sub-zone A1 were to be divided with a small area outside the extended controlled hours for residents' parking, this would create problems in the excluded area, as well as driver confusion. This would also create a small area excluded from the extended hours, which is likely to move the parking problems for residents to these streets. The current proposals do not affect meters and single yellow lines and visitors would still be able to use these facilities without having to pay.</p> <p>Noted, but if sub-zone A2 were to be divided with a small area outside the extended controlled hours for residents' parking, this would create problems in the excluded area, as well as driver confusion. This would also create a small area excluded from the extended hours, which is likely to move the parking problems for residents to these streets. There will be a review of Westminster's parking scheme in late 2003 to assess the impact of the congestion charge, and whether any changes should be made.</p>

Sub Zone B4 – Church Street & Little Venice

Member	Comment(s)	Director's response
Cllr A Mothersdale (Church Street) Sub Zone B4	Welcomes the proposal to extend the controls for residents' parking. Cllr Mothersdale would welcome further protection once the Congestion Charge is in place. He trusts that the extension will be accompanied by rigorous enforcement.	Noted. As mentioned in the report a further review will take place during 2003 once the Congestion Charge is in place. The extended controlled hours will be enforced as well as the existing hours of control.
Cllr A Lewis (Bryanston & Dorset Sq.) Sub Zones B4 & F2/3	Sub Zone B4: Cllr Lewis is in no doubt that control of residents' parking should be extended to 8.30 a.m. – 8.30 p.m. seven days a week. She strongly suspects that after the congestion charge begins there will be calls for 24 hour controls. Sub Zones F2/3: Cllr Lewis on balance believes we should move to 24 hour control for residents' parking. However, it will not be universally popular and is obviously expensive. However, there are three groups of people for whom it is vital. 1. There is great difficulty at present for those people living near the main commercial streets, Oxford Street, Edgware Road etc. It is almost impossible to find a space near her flat throughout the evenings, just south of the Marylebone Road. 2. The implication that the whole of this zone is inside the congestion charging zone is, in my opinion, inaccurate. The unfortunate people in my ward in the triangle in the north west corner, north of the Marylebone Road and east of Edgware Road are in F zone but outside the congestion charging zone. There is already insufficient parking space for them within that area and they are forced to go south (into the congestion charging zone) to park. The 24 hour control of the residents' parking will be very important for them.	Noted Noted

	<p>3. This is also extremely important for the people who live in Bickenhall Street or the area to the south in York Street east of Gloucester Place. Their streets will also be outside the congestion charging zone (although they will be offered the residents' discount) and so it is extremely important that this most central point of the uncharged area has protection for residents' paying for parking facilities.</p>	
<p>Cllr I Adams (Little Venice) Sub Zone B4</p>	<p>Cllr Adams asks about the financial impact of monitoring the proposal to extend controlled parking hours?</p>	<p>Generally speaking the cost of extending the hours of control for residents' parking is broadly neutral, e.g. enforcement costs are balanced by increased PCN and vehicle release fees. This issue will be considered in more detail in a future Quarterly Monitoring report.</p>
<p>Cllr C Keen (Bryanston & Dorset Sq.) Sub Zones B4 & F2/3</p>	<p>Cllr Keen endorses Cllr Lewis' comments. She also wants it made clear to residents that this proposals does not mean extended hours of control on yellow lines & meters. One of the problems north of Marylebone Road is that residents from the far north of the zone driving too and parking in the Dorset Square area adding further to local residents' problems. There have been meeting with Martin Low to discuss improvements to parking around Marylebone Station, this includes some changes to yellow lines to assist bus and safe pedestrian movements. When changes are notified to residents can they be advised of the restrictions applying to yellow lines.</p>	<p>Noted. Holders of residents' parking permits are normally given a leaflet which includes the hours of parking and a map of their zone.</p>
<p>Cllr B Grahame (Church Street) Sub-zone B4</p>	<p>Cllr Grahame does not understand the rationale to propose the extension of residents' parking controls to Monday – Sunday 8.30 a.m. –</p>	<p>Paragraph 5.14 summarises the case for the current proposal, which it is felt is particularly important given the imminent implementation of the Mayor's</p>

	<p>8.30 p.m. in B4. Particularly as 62% of respondents are happy with the present controls. She also noted that Saturday when Church Street market is busiest and should be controlled. Cllr Grahame believes residents would prefer controls extended to Saturday 8.30 a.m. – 6.30 p.m., as there does not appear to be case for Sunday and evening controls. Residents would be at a disadvantage as visiting friends and relatives will not be able to park.</p>	<p>Congestion Charge. As this proposal <u>only</u> relates to residents' parking bays there should be no undue problem for residents' visitors, as they will still be able to use the metered parking and single yellow lines in the evenings and weekends.</p>
--	---	---

Sub Zones F1, F2 & F3 (Hyde Park Estate & Marylebone)

Member	Comment(s)	Director's response
Cllr P Batty (Hyde Park) Sub-zone F1	<p>If 46% want no change why recommending 24 hours, where will visitors park?</p> <p>Concerned about the survey technique used and the impact on Cllr Batty's Ward especially in view of the Mayor's Congestion Charge. Edgware Road will attract more parked vehicles and become a nightmare.</p> <p>Also concerned about the impact on the new parking enforcement contract.</p>	<p>Officers have received several complaints from the Hyde Park Estate area about visitors parking in residents' parking bays. They are encouraged by the bars & restaurants on Edgware Road, which open up to 2 a.m. Meters and single yellow lines will still be available for visitors.</p> <p>The survey technique is discussed in this report and the numbers of surveyed residents is also given. Meters and single yellow lines will still be available for visitors. It is possible that Edgware Road will attract more vehicles, but parking enforcement there is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Police's Traffic Warden Service. The new parking enforcement contract is flexible and allows for variance to deal with changes in the parking regime.</p>
Cllr A Lewis (Bryanston & Dorset Sq.) Sub Zones B4 & F2/3	<p>Sub Zone B4: Cllr Lewis is in no doubt that control of residents' parking should be extended to 8.30 a.m. – 8.30 p.m. seven days a week. She strongly suspects that after the congestion charge begins there will be calls for 24 hour controls.</p> <p>Sub Zones F2/3: Cllr Lewis on balance believes we should move to 24 hour control for residents' parking. However, it will not be universally popular and is obviously expensive. However, there are three groups of people for whom it is vital. 1. There is great difficulty at present for those people living near the main commercial streets, Oxford Street, Edgware Road etc. It is almost impossible to find a space near her flat throughout the evenings, just south of the Marylebone Road. 2. The implication that the whole of this zone is inside the congestion charging zone is, in my opinion, inaccurate. The</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>

	<p>unfortunate people in my ward in the triangle in the north west corner, north of the Marylebone Road and east of Edgware Road are in F zone but outside the congestion charging zone. There is already insufficient parking space for them within that area and they are forced to go south (into the congestion charging zone) to park. The 24 hour control of the residents' parking will be very important for them.</p> <p>3. This is also extremely important for the people who live in Bickenhall Street or the area to the south in York Street east of Gloucester Place. Their streets will also be outside the congestion charging zone (although they will be offered the residents' discount) and so it is extremely important that this most central point of the uncharged area has protection for residents' paying for parking facilities.</p>	
<p>Cllr C Barrow (Hyde Park) Sub Zone F1</p>	<p>Cllr Barrow endorses the proposal.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Cllr A Mallinson (Hyde Park) Sub Zone F1</p>	<p>Cllr Mallinson agrees with the proposal.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

Business Parking

Member	Comment(s)	Director's response
Cllr P Dimoldenberg (Queen's Park)	Fully supports the proposed Business Parking scheme for NW Westminster as set out in the draft report. Cllr Dimoldenberg also supports the inclusion of teachers parking.	Noted
Cllr B Taylor (Queen's Park)	Cllr Taylor echoes Cllr Dimoldenberg's support for the policy.	Noted
Cllr J Ohene-Djan (Harrow Road)	Cllr Ohene-Djan offers her full support to the proposed scheme, especially the opportunity for key worker parking.	Noted